

Universiteit Utrecht







### Low-carbon energy supply

Options, potentials and costs, and other aspects

Marc Londo ECN Policy Studies and Copernicus Institute, Utrecht University

KiVi EnergyNL2050

February 9, 2017

www.ecn.nl



#### Key messages

- **1**. Large potentials in NL for renewables and other low-carbon energy supply
- 2. Partly uncertain, partly costly, partly import-dependent
- 3. It's not only potentials and costs that will shape our 2050 energy economy



# 1. Low-carbon energy supply options: potentials



### There's potentials and potentials...



#### Global biomass potentials



Issue/effect	Importance	Impact on biomass potentials
Improvement of agricultural management	* * *	
Food demand and human diet	***	A↓ V
Use of degraded land	~ <u>(</u> 9)~	$\uparrow \downarrow$
Competition for water	***	$\checkmark$
Perspective of aquatic biomass	***	$\uparrow$
Use of agriculture/forestry by groducts	**	$\uparrow \downarrow$
Expansion of protected areas	**	$\checkmark$
Improvements in water use efficiency	**	$\uparrow$
Climate change	**	$\uparrow\downarrow$
Alternative protein chains	**	$\uparrow$

#### Biomass potentials for NL



- NL share of global potential?
- Link with many other policy domains
  - Agriculture, forestry, rural development
  - Legal safeguarding, land rights
  - Food and diet habits
    - Agriculture: wet
    - Agriculture: dry
    - Agriculture: crops
    - Wood: Sawdust, demolition
    - Wood: Forestry residues
    - Wood: Additional production
    - Aquatic biomass

# Allocation ruleShare for NL 2030Per capita~0,25%Per TPES~0,5%Per GDP~1%



#### Min: 100 PJ





#### Wind potentials

	On-shore		Offshore	
	Pessimistic	Optimistic	Pessimistic	Optimistic
Potential capacity (GW)	7	9	18	35
Potential production (PJ	45	90	250	550
Current production (2015, PJ)	21		4	

# Where would all this offshore wind potential be?



- Yield densities: now 6 GW/km<sup>2</sup>
- Higher yields possible?
- If you realise more offshore wind, slightly lower (wake effects)
- Current locations: ~15 GW
  - Borssele,
  - Hollandse kust
  - IJmuiden ver
  - North from Wadden Sea
- Then: areas further than "IJmuiden ver"
- Then: Doggersbank





#### Solar-PV potentials



... and of course some solar thermal, ~50 PJ

#### Geothermal



- The deeper, the hotter
- Conventional geothermal (heat): ~150 PJ
- (Ultra)deep geothermal (heat, power) several 100's of PJ



# Storage of heat and cold (WKO-WP)



- ... not limited by the availability of buffer capacity...
- Particularly relevant for services sector and newly built dwellings
- Potential ~50 PJ



#### The renewable dark horses...



• Wave and tidal energy

• Blue energy (osmosis)

Hydro power water stream energy

 $\rightarrow$  No enormous potentials expected for the Netherlands



#### Total potentials of renewables

- Partly 'primary': biomass
- Partly 'final': wind, solar, geothermal
- Import from wind, solar?

120 PJ

Potential 2050 (final): ~1500 PJ



### Other $CO_2$ mitigation options in the energy domain: CCS



- Relatively large potential in the Netherlands
- Dependent on many factors still
- Indication: ~50 Mton CO<sub>2</sub>/year
- Beware of energy penalties

Total GHG emissions: ~200 Mton/year







#### 2. What about costs?



#### There's costs and there's costs...

- Commercial project costs
- Total system costs (commercial)
- National costs

- €/kWh, €/PJ
- €/tonne CO<sub>2</sub>-eq



#### National costs of GHG mitigation: Renewables everywhere





Reductie, Mton CO2-eq Broeikasgassen

### What happened with offshore wind?

- From ~15 €ct/kWh to 5.5 €ct/kWh in three years!
- While in earlier years, cost reductions were very minor

#### Innovation

- Technical, in synergy with scale
- Financial, etc.

#### Socialisation:

- Grid connection costs
- Technical exploration of sites
- Conjunctural effects:
  - Steel prices
  - Recession in offshore fossil
  - Strategic behaviour and risk allocation



**ECN** 

#### What happened with Solar-PV?



- In the Netherlands, competitiveness 'behind the meter' by 2013
- Strong growth in sunnier places
- 'Tilting point'





3. Why we will certainly *not* have the least-cost mix of supply options



• We need the right energy carriers, at the right time and place

	R.		8.5	
	Electricity 个	Heat 个	Gaseous fuels 🗸	Liquid fuels 🗸
Biomass	Х	Х	Х	Х
Wind	Х			
Solar	Х			
Geothermal	х	Х		

- Renewable power intermittency sets new challenges
- And for CCS, we need large-scale point sources of CO<sub>2</sub>



#### It's public perception, stupid!





#### It's instrumentability, stupid!

- "Ease of policy making" will vary widely
- As will political opportunities
- That's a fact of life

	'Policy ease'	Bio	Wind	Solar	Geoth	CCS
Length of supply chain	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{i}}$	$\odot$	$\odot$	~	$\overline{\mathbf{i}}$
Number of stakeholders involved	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\odot$	$\odot$	~	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$
Synergies with other domains	$\uparrow$	$\odot$	~	$\odot$	~	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$
Conflicts with other domains	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	$\odot$	~	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$
Number of governmental layers	$\checkmark$	~	~	$\odot$	~	~
Issue complexity, information asymmetry	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$	~	٢	~	$\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$



#### Thanks for your attention!

Marc Londo

londo@ecn.nl

088-5158253

ECN Policy Studies	ECN
Radarweg 60	P.O.
1041 NT Amsterdam	175
The Netherlands	The

**ECN** P.O. Box 1 1755 ZG Petten The Netherlands

r +31 88 515 49 49	info@ecn.nl
<sup>-</sup> +31 88 515 44 80	www.ecn.nl



